

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 JEFFREY SHAWN HENDERSON, 2:04-cv-1341-GEB-CMK-P

12 Petitioner,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 MIKE KNOWLES, Warden,

15 Respondent.
16 _____/

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of
18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.

20 On March 6, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
21 herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the
22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within 20 days. Timely objections to the findings
23 and recommendations have been filed.


24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-
25 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire
26 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

1 proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 6, 2007, are adopted in
4 full;
- 5 2. Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and
- 6 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment and close this file.

7 Dated: May 30, 2007

8 
9 _____
10 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
11 United States District Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26